

Treatment and Prophylaxis of Venous Thromboembolism in Patients with Cancer

An overview of the 2019 International Guidelines for the Treatment and Prophylaxis of Venous Thromboembolism in Patients with Cancer

A slide set developed by the International Initiative on Thrombosis and Cancer (ITAC)

Slide set authors:

Dominique Farge MD, PhD, University of Paris & McGill University Corinne Frère MD, PhD, Sorbonne University

2019 – 3rd International CPGs : Update including RCTs comparing DOACs and LMWH

International working group: 15 multidisciplinary experts, 2 methodologists, 1 nurse, 2 patients, 83 independent reviewers

GRADE methodology



International Initiative

Thrombosis and Cancer

2019 - International CPGs and Cancer Guidelines App



- Clinical tool based on the 2019 international CPGs
 - ✓ Decision-tree algorithm to assist clinicians in making treatment strategies
 - Encourages judicious and appropriate use of anticoagulants as VTE prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer
- Download for free: iOS App Store or Google Play
 - ✓ Also available as a web app : www.itaccme.com





Clinical Questions

- 1. Initial treatment of established VTE
- 2. Early maintenance (up to 6 months) and long-term treatment (>6 months) of established VTE
- 3. Treatment of VTE recurrence under treatment
- 4. Treatment of established CRT
- 5. Risk stratification schemes to help assess prophylaxis requirements
- 6. Prophylaxis of VTE in surgical cancer patients
- 7. Prophylaxis of VTE in medical cancer
- 8. Prophylaxis of CRT
- 9. Special situations: brain tumor, neurosurgery, thrombocytopenia, renal failure, and pregnancy

Guidelines Methodology



• Literature review

All published studies January 1996-June 2011 (2013 CPGs), June 2011-January 2016 (2016 CPGs), and January 2015- Dec 2018 (2019 CPGs)

Critical appraisal

Methodological assessment/clinical relevance

I

• Data extraction



• Conclusion tables



 Debourdeau P, et al. J Thromb Haemost. 2013;11(1):71-80. Farge D, et al. J Thromb Haemost. 2013;11(1):56-70. Farge et al. Lancet Oncol 2016 Oct;17(10):e452-e466.
 Farge D, Frère C et al. Lancet Oncol 2019 Sep 3. [Epub ahead of print].



Supported by

CPGs, clinical practice guidelines;



Qual <i>i</i> ty of evidence	Study design	Lower if	Higher if
High (4)	Randomized trial	Study limitations -1 Serious -2 Very serious	Large effect +1 Large +2 Very large
Moderate (3)		Inconsistency -1 Serious -2 Very serious	Dose response +1 Evidence of a gradient
Low (2)	Observational study	Indirectness -1 Serious -2 Very serious	 All plausible confounding +1 Would reduce a demonstrated effect +1 Would suggest a spurious effect when results show no effect
Very low (1)		Imprecision -1 Serious -2 Very serious	
		Publication bias -1 Likely -2 Very likely	

GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation. Adapted from: Balshem H, et al. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64(4):401-6

GRADE Scale: Going from Evidence to Recommendations



Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) scale and additional economic considerations

Levels of Evidence

- High (A) Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.
- Moderate (B) Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
- Low (C) Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.
- Very low (D) Any estimate of effect is very uncertain.

Levels of recommendation

- Strong (Grade 1) The panel is confident that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation outweigh the undesirable effects.
- Weak (Grade 2) The panel concludes that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation probably outweigh the undesirable effects, but is not confident.
- Best clinical practice (Guidance) In the absence of any clear scientific evidence and because of undetermined balance between desirable and undesirable effects, judgment was based on the professional experience and consensus of the international experts within the working group.

Additional economic considerations taken into account during the development and ranking of the recommendations.

- The price of a drug varies in different countries and in different regions of the world.
- In the case of a strong recommendation, the benefit to the patient outweighs health economics considerations.
- Costs of anticoagulants are negligible compared to the cost of cancer treatment.

GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation. Farge D, et al. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2013;11(1):56-70. Farge et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2016 Oct;17(10):e452-e466. Farge D, Frere C et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2019 Sep 3. [Epub ahead of print].

Major Differences Between 2016 and 2019 International CPGs

- Added results from the most recent randomized clinical trials (RCTs) comparing DOACs with LMWH for the treatment and prophylaxis of VTE in cancer patients
- Added other new evidence to support treatment and prophylaxis recommendations
- Updated list of risk factors for VTE in patients with cancer
- Updated risk stratification schemes to help determine VTE prophylaxis requirements
- Added 1 table on results from RCTs assessing the efficacy and safety of DOACs in the treatment and prophylaxis of VTE in cancer patients
- Added 1 table on DOAC drug-drug interactions

Thrombosis and Cance



Treatment of established VTE in Patients with Cancer

Copyright © 1093790 (OPIC 28/02/2016)

2 Ir	019 International CPGs nitial Treatment of Established VTE	International Initiative on Thrombosis and Cancer
•	LMWH is recommended for the initial treatment of established VTE in cancer patients when creatinine clearance \geq 30 mL.min ⁻¹ . [Grade 1B]	Unchanged
•	In patients not having a high risk for gastro-intestinal or genito-urinary bleeding, rivaroxaban (in the first 10 days) or edoxaban (started after at least 5 days of parenteral anticoagulation) can be also used for the initial treatment of established VTE in cancer patients when creatinine clearance \geq 30 mL.min ⁻¹ . [Grade 1B]	New
•	UFH can be also used for the initial treatment of established VTE in cancer patients when LMWH or DOACs are contraindicated or not available. [Grade 2C]	Unchanged
•	Fondaparinux can be also used for the initial treatment of established VTE in cancer patients. [Grade 2D]	Unchanged
•	Thrombolysis in cancer patients with established VTE may only be considered on a case-by-case basis, with specific attention paid to contraindications, especially bleeding risk (brain metastasis). [Guidance, based on evidence of very low quality and the high bleeding risk of thrombolytic therapy]	Unchanged
•	In the initial treatment of VTE, IVC filters may be considered when anticoagulant treatment is contraindicated or in the case of PE recurrence under optimal anticoagulation. Periodic reassessment of contraindications for anticoagulation is recommended, and anticoagulation should be resumed when safe. [Guidance, based on evidence of very low quality and an unknown balance between desirable and undesirable effects].	Unchanged

Copyright © 1093790 (OPIC 28/02/2016)

Farge D, Frère C, et al. Lancet Oncol 2019 Sep 3. [Epub ahead of print]





- For the treatment of symptomatic CRT in cancer patients, anticoagulant treatment is recommended for a minimum of 3 months and as long as the CVC is in place; in this setting, LMWHs are suggested and direct comparisons between LMWHs, DOACS and VKAs have not been made. [Guidance]
- In cancer patients with CRT, the CVC can be kept in place if it is functional, well positioned, and noninfected with good resolution of symptoms under close surveillance, while anticoagulation therapy is administered, no standard approach in terms of duration of anticoagulation is established. [Guidance]

Unchanged



Prophylaxis of VTE in Patients with Cancer

Copyright © 1093790 (OPIC 28/02/2016)











We recommend prophylaxis with LMWH or fondaparinux when creatinine clearance ≥30 mL.min⁻¹, or UFH in hospitalized medical patients with cancer and reduced mobility [Grade 1B]. In this setting, DOACs are not recommended routinely [Guidance].





Farge D, Frere C et al. Lancet Oncol 2019 Sep 3. [Epub ahead of print].



Primary prophylaxis with LMWH, VKA or DOACs in ambulatory patients receiving systemic anti-cancer therapy is not recommended routinely [Grade 1B]. Jodated Primary pharmacological prophylaxis of VTE with LMWH is indicated in ambulatory patients • with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer treated with systemic anti-cancer therapy and having a low bleeding risk [Grade 1B]. Primary pharmacological prophylaxis of VTE with LMWH is not recommended outside in a • Updated clinical trial for patients with locally advanced or metastatic lung cancer treated with systemic anti-cancer therapy, including patients having a low bleeding risk [Guidance].

2019 International CPGs Prophylaxis of VTE in Medical Cancer Patients Recommendations



Primary prophylaxis with DOAC (rivaroxaban or apixaban) is recommended in ambulatory patients receiving systemic anti-cancer therapy at intermediate-to-high risk of VTE, identified by cancer type (i.e., pancreatic) or by a validated risk assessment model (i.e. Khorana score≥2), and not actively bleeding or not at high risk for bleeding. [Grade 1B]

KHORANA score

/ery high-risk tumors (pancreatic, gastric)	+2	
ligh risk tumors ((lung, lymphoma, bladder, testicular, lynecological)		
Hemoglobin <10 g/dl and/or erythropoietin stimulating agents	+1	
White blood cell count >11 x 109/L	+1	
Platelet count ≥350 x 109/L	+1	
3MI >35 kg/m2	+1	

New

Unchanged

In patients treated with IMiDs combined with steroids and/or other systemic anti-cancer therapies, VTE primary pharmacological prophylaxis is recommended [Grade 1A]; in this setting, VKA at low or therapeutic doses, LMWH at prophylactic doses, and low-dose aspirin can be used and have shown similar effects with regard to preventing VTE [Grade 2C].

•





Special Situations

Copyright © 1093790 (OPIC 28/02/2016)



We recommend the use of LMWH or UFH commenced postoperatively for the prevention of VTE in cancer

Primary pharmacological prophylaxis of VTE in medical cancer patients with brain tumor who are not

For the treatment of established VTE in cancer patients with a brain tumor, LMWHs or DOACs can be

patients undergoing neurosurgery. [Grade 1A]



undergoing neurosurgery is not recommended. [Grade 1B]



Updated



used. [Grade 2B]

۲

International Initiative on Thrombosis and Cancer

- In the presence of severe renal failure (creatinine clearance < 30 mL.min⁻¹) we suggest using UFH followed by early VKA (possible from day 1) or LMWH adjusted to anti-Xa level for the treatment of established VTE. [Guidance, in the absence of data and an unknown balance between desirable and undesirable effects]
- In patients with severe renal failure (creatinine clearance < 30 mL.min⁻¹), an ECD may be applied, and pharmacological prophylaxis may be considered on a case-by-case basis; in patients with severe renal failure (creatinine clearance < 30 mL.min⁻¹), UFH can be used on a case-by-case basis. [Guidance, in the absence of data and a balance between desirable and undesirable effects depending on the level of VTE

Unchanged

risk]

2019 International CPGs-Special Situations Recommendations

International Initiative on Thrombosis and Cancer

- In cancer patients with thrombocytopenia, full doses of anticoagulant can be used for the treatment of established VTE if the platelet count is > 50 G.L⁻¹ and there is no evidence of bleeding; for patients with a platelet count below 50 G.L⁻¹, decisions on treatment and dosage should be made on a case-by-case basis with the utmost caution. [Guidance, in the absence of data and a balance between desirable and undesirable effects depending on the bleeding risk versus VTE risk]
- In cancer patients with mild thrombocytopenia, platelet count > 80 G.L⁻¹, pharmacological prophylaxis may be used; if the platelet count is below 80 G.L⁻¹, pharmacological prophylaxis may only be considered on a case-by-case basis and careful monitoring is recommended. [Guidance, in the absence of data and a balance between desirable and undesirable effects depending on the bleeding risk versus VTE risk]



Unchanged



2019 International Guidelines for the Treatment and Prophylaxis of Venous Thromboembolism in Patients with Cancer



ITAC Working Group

Prof. Dominique Farge-Bancel, MD Professor of Internal Medicine and Vascular Disease, Université de Paris, Hôpital Saint-Louis, France; McGill University, Canada Prof. James Douketis, MD Professor of Hematology and Thromboembolism, McMaster University, Canada Dr. Cihan Ay, MD Associate Professor of Hematology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria Dr. Dialina Brilhante, MD Associate Professor of Hematology, Instituto Português de Oncologia de Lisboa, Portugal Prof. Henri Bounameaux, MD Dean of Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Switzerland **Prof. Benjamin Brenner, MD** Director, Institute of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Rambam Medical Centre, Israel Dr. Jean Marie Connors, MD Associate Professor of Hematology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, USA Dr. Corinne Frere, MD Associate Professor of Hematology, Sorbonne Université, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris. France The Right Honourable Prof. Lord Ajay Kakkar, MD Professor of Surgery, University College London, United Kingdom Prof. Alok Khorana, MD Professor of Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, USA Prof. Manuel Monreal, MD Professor of Medicine, Hospitali Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Spain Pr. Andres Munoz Martín, MD Cancer & Thrombosis Working Group, Spanish Society of Medical Oncology, Spain Prof. Ingrid Pabinger, MD Professor of Hemostaseology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria Dr. Hanadi Rafii, MD Assistant Professor of Hematology, Paris Diderot University, France

Dr. Susan Solymoss, MD Associate Professor of Hematology, McGill University, Canada



Download for free: iOS App Store or Google Play

4 clics 1 minute Any VTE and cancer situation



- ITAC steering committee
- Knowledge Synthesis team members
- Author of the ITAC Cancer and VTE Slide Set: Dominique Farge MD, University of Paris, McGill University and Corinne Frere MD, Sorbonne University

See more about the 2019 ITAC guidelines at <u>www.itaccme.com</u>

Image attributions



Surgical icon

Contributor unknown; from https://www.cleanpng.com/png-surgical-instrument-surgery-scalpel-surgeon-2242421/download-png.html **Hospital Communication icon** Designed by @Latronda; fom https://www.cleanpng.com/png-hospital-computer-icons-medicine-clinic-clip-art-c-1333922/ Pancreas icon (Important organs PNG) Designed By 588ku; from Pngtree.com **Lung icon (Important organs PNG)** Designed By 588ku; from Pngtree.com Kidney icon (red PNG) Designed By 588ku; from Pngtree.com Blood platelet icon Contributor unknown; from https://www.cleanpng.com/png-red-blood-cell-platelet-white-blood-cell-platelet-2888807/ Pregnant woman (mother s day PNG) Designed By 588ku; from Pngtree.com mother s day png from pngtree.com **Obesity icon** Contributor unknown, https://www.kissclipart.com/obesity-svg-clipart-overweight-obesity-clip-art-jd9f62/